A METHOD FOR GENERATING HYPERMUTABLE ORGANISMS

This invention was made using a U.S. government grant from the NIH (CA43460). Therefore, the U.S. government retains certain rights to the invention.

TECHNICAL FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The invention is related to the area of mismatch repair genes. In particular it is related to the field of mutagenesis.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Within the past four years, the genetic cause of the Hereditary Nonpolyposis Colorectal Cancer Syndrome (HNPCC), also known as Lynch syndrome II, has been ascertained for the majority of kindreds affected with the disease (13). The molecular basis of HNPCC involves genetic instability resulting from defective mismatch repair (MMR). To date, six genes have been identified in humans that encode for proteins and appear to participate in the MMR process, including the *mutS* homologs *GTBP*, *hMSH2*, and *hMSH3* and the *mutL* homologs *hMLH1*, *hPMS1*, and *hPMS2* (2,7,11,17,20,21,22, 24). Germline mutations in four of these genes (*hMSH2*, *hMLH1*, *hPMS1*, and *hPMS2*) have been identified in HNPCC

15

10

10

15

20

kindreds (2,11,13,17,24). Though the mutator defect that arises from the MMR deficiency can affect any DNA sequence, microsatellite sequences are particularly sensitive to MMR abnormalities (14). Microsatellite instability is therefore a useful indicator of defective MMR. In addition to its occurrence in virtually all tumors arising in HNPCC patients, Microsattelite instability is found in a small fraction of sporadic tumors with distinctive molecular and phenotypic properties (27).

HNPCC is inherited in an autosomal dominant fashion, so that the normal cells of affected family members contain one mutant allele of the relevant MMR gene (inherited from an affected parent) and one wild-type allele (inherited from the unaffected parent). During the early stages of tumor development, however, the wild-type allele is inactivated through a somatic mutation, leaving the cell with no functional MMR gene and resulting in a profound defect in MMR activity. Because a somatic mutation in addition to a germ-line mutation is required to generate defective MMR in the tumor cells, this mechanism is generally referred to as one involving "two hits," analogous to the biallelic inactivation of tumor suppressor genes that initiate other hereditary cancers (11,13,25). In line with this two-hit mechanism, the non-neoplastic cells of HNPCC patients generally retain near normal levels of MMR activity due to the presence of the wild-type allele.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is an object of the present invention to provide a method for rendering cells hypermutable.

It is another object of the present invention to provide genetically altered cell lines.

It is yet another object of the present invention to provide a method to produce transgenic animals that are hypermutable.

It is also an object of the present invention to provide genetically altered transgenic animals.

It is a further object of the invention to provide a method of mutating a gene of interest in a cell.

Yet another object of the invention is to provide a method of mutating a gene of interest in an animal.

These and other objects of the invention are provided by one or more of the embodiments described below. In one embodiment of the invention, a method for making a hypermutable cell is provided. A polynucleotide encoding a dominant negative allele of a mismatch repair gene is introduced into a cell. The cell becomes hypermutable as a result of the introduction of the gene.

In another embodiment of the invention, an isolated hypermutable cell is provided. The cell comprises a dominant negative allele of a mismatch repair gene.

In another embodiment of the invention, a hypermutable transgenic animal is provided. The animal comprises a dominant negative allele of a mismatch repair gene.

In another embodiment of the invention, a method is provided for introducing a mutation into a gene of interest. A polynucleotide encoding a dominant negative allele of a mismatch repair gene is introduced into a cell. The cell becomes hypermutable as a result of the introduction of the gene. The cell further comprises a gene of interest. The cell is grown. The cell is tested to determine whether the gene of interest harbors a mutation.

In another embodiment of the invention, a method is provided for generating a mutation in a gene of interest. A transgenic animal comprising a polynucleotide encoding a dominant negative allele of a mismatch repair gene is grown. The animal comprises a gene of interest. The animal is tested to determine whether the gene of interest harbors a mutation.

These and other embodiments of the invention provide the art with methods that can generate enhanced mutability in cells and animals as well as providing cells and animals harboring potentially useful mutations.

5

10

15

20

25

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1. Diagrams of *PMS2* expression vectors (Fig. 1A) and pCAR reporters (Fig. 1B).

5

10

15

20

25

Figure 2. SH cells co-transfected with pCAR reporters and PMS2 expression vectors after 17 days of drug selection. (Fig. 2A) Western blots of lysates from untransfected SH cells (lane 1) or SH cells transfected with PMS2-NOT (lane 2) or PMS2-WT (lane 3). The arrow indicates the 110 kD protein expected for hPMS2. (Fig. 2B) Western blots of lysates from untransfected SH cells (lane 1) or SH cells transfected with PMS2-NOT (lane 2) or PMS2-134 (lane 3). The arrow indicates the 14 kD protein expected for hPMS-134. Both A and B were probed with an antibody generated against the Nterminus of hPMS2. The upper polypeptides in A and the lower polypeptides in B represent cross-reactive hamster proteins. (Fig. 2C)β-galactosidase activity in lysates derived from SH cells co-transfected with PMS2-NOT (lane 1), PMS2-WT (lane 2), or PMS2-134 (lane 3) plus reporter plasmid. Relative β -galactosidase activities are defined as the ratio of β -galactosidase activity in cells transfected with pCAR-OF compared to that in cells transfected with pCAR-IF; this normalization controlled for transfection efficiency and controlled for \beta-galactosidase activity in the cells expressing the various PMS2 effector genes.

Figure 3. In situ β -galactosidase activity of pooled clones of SH cells stably transduced with the *PMS2-NOT* (Fig. 3A), *PMS2-WT* (Fig. 3B), or *PMS2-134* (Fig. 3C) expression vectors, then re-transfected with pCAR-OF reporter. After 17 days of drug selection, the colonies were pooled, cultured, and stained for β -galactosidase activity. A pooled culture of of *PMS2-134* transduced SH cells expressing β -galactosidase from pCAR-OF

is visible in Fig. 3C. The level of expression is lower, as expected, than in SH cells transfected with the pCAR-IF reporter plasmid, shown as a positive control in Fig. 3D. Each of the fields illustrated is representative of that found in triplicate experiments.

5

10

15

20

25

30

Figure 4. Protein expression and β -galactosidase activity in stably transduced SH clones. (Fig. 4A) Western blots of lysates from clones stably transduced with PMS2-NOT (lanes 1-3) or PMS2-WT (lanes 4-6). (Fig. 4B) Western blots of lysates from clones stably transduced with PMS2-NOT (lanes 1-3) or PMS2-134 (lanes 4-6). (The arrows indicate the polypeptide of the appropriate molecular weight. The upper (Fig. 4A) and lower (Fig. 4B) molecular weight polypeptides are nonspecific proteins. (Fig. 4C) The clones expressing PMS2-NOT (lane 1A-3A), PMS2-WT (lanes 1B-3B), or PMS2-134 (lanes 1C-3C) were transduced with pCAR-OF or pCAR-IF reporter plasmids and multiple subclones selected in hygromycin plus geneticin were harvested 17 days later and assayed for β -galactosidase activity. Relative β -galactosidase activities are defined as the ratio of β -galactosidase activity in cells transduced with pCAR-OF compared to that in cells transduced with pCAR-IF.

Figure 5. Immunoprecipitation of *in vitro* translated hPMS2 and hMLH1 proteins. (Fig. 5A) Labelled (indicated by an asterisk) or unlabelled proteins were incubated with an antibody to the C-terminus of hPMS2 in lanes 1-3 and to hMLH1 in lanes 4-6. Lane 7 contains a nonprogrammed reticulocyte lysate. The *PMS-135* contains codons 135-862 of *hPMS2*. The major translation products of *hPMS2* and *hMLH1* are indicated. (Fig. 5B) Labelled hPMS-134 (containing codons 1-134 of hPMS2) was incubated in the presense or absence of unlabelled hMLH1 plus an antibody to hMLH1 (lanes 1 and 2, respectively). Lane 3 contains lysate from a nonprogrammed reticulolysate. (Fig. 5C) Labelled proteins were incubated with an antibody to the N-terminus of hPMS2. Lane 6 contains a nonprogrammed

10

15

20

reticulocyte lysate. In both Fig. 5A and Fig. 5B, autoradiographs of immunoprecipitated products are shown.

Figure 6. Complementation of MMR activity in transduced SH cells. Lysates from pooled clones stably transduced with PMS2-NOT, PMS2-WT, or PMS2-134 were complemented with purified $MutS\alpha$ or $MutL\alpha$ MMR components using the 5'G/T heteroduplex substrate. The values are presented as the percentage of repair activity in each case compared to that in lysates complemented with both purified $MutL\alpha$ and $MutS\alpha$ components to normalize for repair efficiency in the different lysate backgrounds. The values shown represent the average of at least three different determinations.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The inventors have discovered a method for developing hypermutable cells and animals by taking advantage of newly discovered alleles of human mismatch repair genes. Dominant negative alleles of such genes, when introduced into cells or transgenic animals, increase the rate of spontaneous mutations by reducing the effectiveness of DNA repair and thereby render the cells or animals hypermutable. Hypermutable cells or animals can then be utilized to develop new mutations in a gene of interest.

The process of mismatch repair, also called mismatch proofreading, is carried out by protein complexes in cells ranging from bacteria to mammalian cells. A mismatch repair gene is a gene that encodes one of the proteins of such a mismatch repair complex. Although not wanting to be bound by any particular theory of mechanism of action, a mismatch repair complex is believed to detect distortions of the DNA helix resulting from non-complementary pairing of nucleotide bases. The non-complementary base on the newer DNA strand is excised, and the excised base is replaced with the appropriate base which is complementary to the older DNA strand. In this way, cells eliminate many mutations which occur as a result of mistakes in DNA replication.

25

10

15

20

25

Dominant negative alleles cause a mismatch repair defective phenotype even in the presence of a wild-type allele in the same cell. An example of a dominant negative allele of a mismatch repair gene is the human gene hPMS2-134, which carries a truncation mutation at codon 134. The mutation causes the product of this gene to abnormally terminate at the position of the 134th amino acid, resulting in a shortened polypeptide containing the N-terminal 133 amino acids. Such a mutation causes an increase in the rate of mutations which accumulate in cells after DNA replication. Expression of a dominant negative allele of a mismatch repair gene results in impairment of mismatch repair activity, even in the presence of the wild-type allele. Any allele which produces such effect can be used in this invention.

Dominant negative alleles of a mismatch repair gene can be obtained from the cells of humans, animals, yeast, bacteria, or other organisms. Such alleles can be identified by screening cells for defective mismatch repair activity. The cells may be mutagenized or not. Cells from animals or humans with cancer can be screened for defective mismatch repair. Cells from colon cancer patients may be particularly useful. Genomic DNA, cDNA, or mRNA from any cell encoding a mismatch repair protein can be analyzed for variations from the wild type sequence. Dominant negative alleles of a mismatch repair gene can also be created artificially, for example, by producing variants of the hPMS2-134 allele or other mismatch repair genes. Various techniques of site-directed mutagenesis can be used. The suitability of such alleles, whether natural or artificial, for use in generating hypermutable cells or animals can be evaluated by testing the mismatch repair activity caused by the allele in the presence of one or more wild-type alleles, to determine if it is a dominant negative allele.

A cell or an animal into which a dominant negative allele of a mismatch repair gene has been introduced will become hypermutable. This means that the spontaneous mutation rate of such cells or animals is elevated compared to cells or animals without such alleles. The degree of elevation

of the spontaneous mutation rate can be at least 2-fold, 5-fold, 10-fold, 20-fold, 50-fold, 100-fold, 200-fold, or 1000-fold that of the normal cell or animal.

According to one aspect of the invention, a polynucleotide encoding a dominant negative form of a mismatch repair protein is introduced into a cell or a transgenic animal. The gene can be any dominant negative allele encoding a protein which is part of a mismatch repair complex, for example, *PMS2*, *PMS1*, *MLH1*, or *MSH2*. The dominant negative allele can be naturally occurring or made in the laboratory. The polynucleotide can be in the form of genomic DNA, cDNA, RNA, or a chemically synthesized polynucleotide. The polynucleotide can be introduced into the cell by transfection.

Transfection is any process whereby a polynucleotide is introduced into a cell. The process of transfection can be carried out in a living animal, e.g., using a vector for gene therapy, or it can be carried out in vitro, e.g., using a suspension of one or more isolated cells in culture. The cell can be any type of eukaryotic cell, including, for example, cells isolated from humans or other primates, mammals or other vertebrates, invertebrates, and single celled organisms such as protozoa or yeast.

In general, transfection will be carried out using a suspension of cells, or a single cell, but other methods can also be applied as long as a sufficient fraction of the treated cells or tissue incorporates the polynucleotide so as to allow transfected cells to be grown and utilized. The protein product of the polynucleotide may be transiently or stably expressed in the cell. Techniques for transfection are well known. Available techniques for introducing polynucleotides include but are not limited to electroporation, transduction, cell fusion, the use of calcium chloride, and packaging of the polynucleotide together with lipid for fusion with the cells of interest. Once a cell has been transfected with the mismatch repair gene, the cell can be grown and reproduced in culture. If the transfection is stable,

20

5

10

15

25

such that the gene is expressed at a consistent level for many cell generations, then a cell line results.

An isolated cell is a cell obtained from a tissue of humans or animals by mechanically separating out individual cells and transferring them to a suitable cell culture medium, either with or without pretreatment of the tissue with enzymes, e.g., collagenase or trypsin. Such isolated cells are typically cultured in the absence of other types of cells. Cells selected for the introduction of a dominant negative allele of a mismatch repair gene may be derived from a eukaryotic organism in the form of a primary cell culture or an immortalized cell line, or may be derived from suspensions of single-celled organisms.

A polynucleotide encoding a dominant negative form of a mismatch repair protein can be introduced into the genome of an animal by producing a transgenic animal. The animal can be any species for which suitable techniques are available to produce transgenic animals. For example, transgenic animals can be prepared from domestic livestock, e.g., cows, pigs, sheep, goats, horses, etc.; from animals used for the production of recombinant proteins, e.g., cows, pigs, or goats that express a recombinant protein in their milk; or experimental animals for research or product testing, e.g., mice, rats, hamsters, guinea pigs, rabbits, etc.

Any method for making transgenic animals known in the art can be used. According to one process of producing a transgenic animal, the polynucleotide is injected into a fertilized egg of the animal and the injected egg is placed into a pseudo-pregnant female. The egg develops into a mature animal in which the polynucleotide is incorporated and expressed. The fertilized egg is produced *in vitro* from the egg and sperm of donor animals of the same species as the pseudo-pregnant female, who is prepared by hormone treatments to receive the fertilized egg and become pregnant. An alternative method for producing transgenic animals involves introducing the polynucleotide into embryonic cells by injection or transfection and reintroducing the embryonic cells into the developing embryo. With this

20

5

10

15

25

10

15

method, however, if the polynucleotide is not incorporated into germline cells, the gene will not be passed on to the progeny. Therefore, a transgenic animal produced by this method must be evaluated to determine whether the gene is incorporated into germ cells of the animal. Once transgenic animals are produced, they can be grown to reproductive age, when they can be mated to produce and maintain a colony of transgenic animals.

Once a transfected cell line or a colony of transgenic animals has been produced, it can be used to generate new mutations in one or more gene(s) of interest. A gene of interest can be any gene naturally possessed by the cell line or transgenic animal or introduced into the cell line or transgenic animal. An advantage of using such cells or animals to induce mutations is that the cell or animal need not be exposed to mutagenic chemicals or radiation, which may have secondary harmful effects, both on the object of the exposure and on the workers.

Mutations can be detected by analyzing for alterations in the genotype of the cells or animals, for example by examining the sequence of genomic DNA, cDNA, messenger RNA, or amino acids associated with the gene of interest. Mutations can also be detected by screening the phenotype of the gene. A mutant phenotype can be detected by identifying alterations in electrophoretic mobility, spectroscopic properties, or other physical or structural characteristics of a protein encoded by a mutant gene. One can also screen for altered function of the protein *in situ*, in isolated form, or in model systems. One can screen for alteration of any property of the cell or animal associated with the function of the gene of interest.

The above disclosure generally describes the present invention. A more complete understanding can be obtained by reference to the following specific examples which are provided herein for purposes of illustration only, and are not intended to limit the scope of the invention.

25

10

15

EXAMPLE 1: hPMS2-134 Encodes a Dominant Negative Mismatch Repair Protein.

A profound defect in MMR was found in the normal cells of two HNPCC patients. That this defect was operative in vivo was demonstrated by the widespread presence of microsattelite instability in non-neoplastic cells of such patients. One of the two patients had a germ-line truncating mutation of the hPMS2 gene at codon 134 (the hPMS2-134 mutation), while the other patient had a small germ-line deletion within the hMLH1 gene (26). These data thus contradicted the two-hit model generally believed to explain the biochemical and biological features of HNPCC patients. The basis for this MMR deficiency in the normal cells of these patients was unclear, and several potential explanations were offered. For example, it was possible that the second allele of the relevant MMR gene was inactivated in the germ-line of these patients through an undiscovered mechanism, or that unknown mutations of other genes involved in the MMR process were present that cooperated with the known germ-line mutation. It is clear from knock-out experiments in mice that MMR-deficiency is compatible with normal growth and development, supporting these possibilities (1,3,6). Alternatively, it was possible that the mutant alleles exerted a dominant negative effect, resulting in MMR deficiency even in the presence of the wild-type allele of the corresponding MMR gene and all other genes involved in the MMR process. To distinguish between these possibilities, we expressed the truncated polypeptide encoded by the hPMS2-134 mutation in an MMR proficient cell line and analyzed its affect on the cell's MMR activity. The results showed that this mutant could indeed exert a dominant negative effect, resulting in biochemical and genetic manifestations of MMR deficiency.

The MMR proficient Syrian hamster TK ts13 cell line (hereafter called SH cells) was cotransfected with various hPMS2 expression plasmids plus reporter constructs for assessing MMR activity. The hPMS2 expression plasmids contained the normal hPMS2 gene product or the

20

25

10

15

20

truncated hPMS2 gene identified in the patient described above (PMS2-WT and PMS2-134, respectively, Fig. 1A). An "empty" vector devoid of hPMS2 sequences (PMS2-NOT, Fig. 1A) served as an additional control. The reporter construct pCAR-OF (out of frame) contained a hygromycin resistance gene plus a β -galactosidase gene containing a 29 bp out-of-frame poly-CA tract at the 5' end of its coding region. The reporter construct pCAR-IF (in frame) was identical except that the poly-CA tract was 27 bp and therefore did not disrupt the β -galactosidase reading frame (Fig. 1B). The pCAR-OF reporter would not generate β -galactosidase activity unless a frame-restoring mutation (i.e., insertion or deletion) arose following transfection.

Three different transfection schemes were used to evaluate the effects of the PMS2-134 mutation on SH cells. In the first scheme, the expression vectors plus the reporters were co-transfected together. Pools containing greater than 100 clones were generated following selection with hygromycin for 17 days and harvested for Western blot and \(\beta\)-galactosidase assays. SH cells transduced with PMS2-WT and PMS2-134 synthesized polypeptides of the expected size, as assessed with anti-hPMS2 antibodies on Western blots (Fig. 2A and 2B). As expected, virtually no β-galactosidase activity was observed in SH cells transfected with the pCAR-OF reporter plus PMS2-NOT (Fig. 2C). However, SH cells transfected with PMS2-134 expressed considerable β -galactosidase activity, significantly more than those transfected with PMS2-WT (Fig. 2C). These results suggested that the truncated polypeptide encoded by the PMS2-134 construct perturbs the endogenous MMR machinery, resulting in deletions or insertions that restored the reading frame. The exact nature of these presumed deletions or insertions could not be assessed, as multiple copies of the reporter constructs were transduced under our conditions, and the wild type \beta-galactosidase sequence was in great

25

10

15

20

25

excess over the expected mutants, precluding their demonstration by direct sequencing.

In the second scheme, SH cells were co-transfected with each of the PMS2 expression vectors plus the hygromycin-resistance plasmid pLHL4. Hygromycin resistant cultures containing greater than 100 clones were pooled and expanded. These cultures were then co-transfected with pCAR-IF or pCAR-OF reporters plus a separate plasmid allowing geneticin selection. Two weeks later, the pooled cells, each containing more than 100 colonies resistant to both hygromycin and geneticin, were stained with X-gal to assess B-galactosidase activity. As shown in Figure 3, the cultures transfected with PMS2-134 (panel C) contained many blue cells, while virtually no cells were blue in the cultures transfected with PMS2-NOT or PMS2-WT (panels A and B, respectively). In each case, transfection efficiency was controlled by parallel transfections using pCAR-IF which also served as a control for β -galactosidase activity of cells expressing the various PMS2 effector genes, which resulted in similar β-galactosidase expression levels in all cases (example in Fig. 3D). Increases in β-galactosidase activity after PMS2-134 transfection compared to PMS2-WT transfection were also observed when a similar experimental protocol was applied to the MMR-proficient human embryonic kidney cell line 293. These cells were cotransfected with the pCAR-OF plus the various PMS2 effector plasmids and selected for 17 days in hygromycin. At day 17, colonies were stained with X-gal to assess \(\beta-galactosidase activity and scored for β-galactosidase expressing cells. As shown in Table 1, only those cells expressing the PMS2-134 polypeptide expressed a detectable B-galactosidase activity. These data demonstrate a similar dominant negative effect of the hPMS2-134 protein in both rodent and human systems and validate the utility of the rodent system in these studies.

In the third scheme, SH cells were transfected with each of the PMS2 expression vectors as described for the second scheme, but individual clones, rather than pooled clones, were expanded following drug selection. Of twenty clones transfected with PMS2-WT, five were shown to express readily detectable levels of full-length PMS2 proteins (examples in Fig. 4A, lanes 4-6). Similar analyses of twenty PMS2-134 clones revealed four clones which expressed truncated PMS2 polypeptides of the expected size (examples in Figure 4B, lanes 4-6). Three clones expressing full-length or truncated PMS2 proteins, as well as three randomly selected clones from PMS2-NOT transfected cells (Figure 4A and 4B, lanes 1-3) were chosen for further analysis. The individual clones were tested for β-galactosidase activity following co-transfection with pCAR-OF plus the pNTK plasmid, as described above for the pooled clones. As shown in Figure 4C, each of the three clones (lanes 3A-3C) expressing the truncated hPMS2 polypeptide yielded much higher β-galactosidase activities following transfection with pCAR-OF than did the clones expressing the full-length hPMS2 protein (lanes 2A-2C) or no hPMS2 protein (lanes 1A-1C).

20

5

10

Table 1. β-galactosidase expression of 293 clones transfected with pCAR-OF reporter construct plus PMS2 effector plasmids. 293 cells were cotransfected with the pCAR-OF β-galactosidase reporter plasmid plus the PMS2-NOT, -WT, or -134 effector plasmids. Transfected cells were selected in hygromycin for 17 days and stained with x-gal for β-galactosidase activity (blue colored cells). The results below represent the mean +/- standard deviation of triplicate experiments.

<u>Sample</u>	Blue colonies	White colonies
PMS2-NOT	0 +/- 0	17 +/- 2.7
PMS2-WT	0 +/- 0	18 +/- 4.0
PMS2-134	15 +/- 2.1	6 +/- 2.1

15

5

10

15

20

Plasmids. The full-length wild-type hPMS2 cDNA was obtained from a human Hela cDNA library as described (18). An hPMS2 cDNA containing a termination codon at amino acid 134 was obtained via RT-PCR from the patient in which the mutation was discovered (9). The cDNA fragments were cloned into the BamHI site into the pSG5 vector, which contains an SV40 promoter followed by an SV40 polyadenylation signal (8). The pCAR reporter vectors described in Fig. 1 were constructed as described in ref. 21 and 25.

Cell lines and transfection. Syrian Hamster fibroblast Tkts13 cells were obtained from ATCC and cultured as described (15). Stably transfected cell lines expressing hPMS2 were created by cotransfection of the PMS2 expression vectors and the pLHL4 plasmid encoding the hygromycin resistance gene at a ratio of 3:1 (pCAR:pLHL4) and selected with hygromycin. Stably transfected cell lines containing pCAR reporters were generated by co-transfection of pCAR vectors together with either pNTK plasmid encoding the neomycin resistance plasmid or with pLHL4. All transfections were performed using calcium phosphate as previously described (15).

β-galactosidase assay. Seventeen days following transfection with pCAR, β-galactosidase assays were performed using 20 μg of protein in 45 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 1mM MgCl₂, 0.1 M NaPO₄ and 0.6 mg/ml Chlorophenol red-β-D-galatopyranoside (CPRG, Boehringer Mannheim). Reactions were incubated for 1 hour, terminated by the addition of 0.5 M Na₂CO₃, and analyzed by spectrophotometry at 576 nm (16). For *in situ* β-galactosidase staining, cells were fixed in 1% glutaraldehyde in PBS and incubated in 0.15 M NaCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 3.3 mM K₄Fe(CN)₆, 3.3 mM K₃Fe(CN)₆, 0.2% X-Gal for 2 hours at 37°C.

10

15

EXAMPLE 2: hPMS2-134 Causes a Defect in MMR Activity

The most likely explanation for the differences in B-galactosidase activity between PMS2-WT and PMS2-134 transfected cells was that the PMS2-134 protein disturbed MMR activity, resulting in a higher frequency of mutation within the pCAR-OF reporter and re-establishing the ORF. To directly test the hypothesis that MMR was altered, we employed a biochemical assay for MMR with the individual clones described in Fig. 4. Nuclear extracts were prepared from the clones and incubated with heteroduplex substrates containing either a /CA\ insertion-deletion or a G/T mismatch under conditions described previously. The /CA\ and G/T heteroduplexes were used to test repair from the 3' and 5' directions, respectively. There was a dramatic difference between the PMS2-134 expressing clones and the other clones in these assays (Table 2A). While all clones repaired substrates from the 3' direction (/CA\ heteroduplex), cells expressing the PMS2-134 polypeptide had very little 5' repair activity. A similar directional defect in mismatch repair was evident with pooled clones resulting from PMS2-134 transfection, or when the heteroduplex contained a 2-4 base pair loop, examples of which are shown in Table 2B. A small decrease in MMR activity was observed in the 3' /CA\ PMS2-WT repair assays, perhaps a result of interference in the biochemical assays by overexpression of the PMS2 protein. No significant activity was caused by PMS2-WT in the in situ β -galactosidase assays (Fig. 3; Table 1), a result more likely to reflect the in vivo condition.

20

Table 2. Mismatch repair activity of nuclear extracts from SH clones (A) or pooled cultures (B). The extracts were tested for MMR activity with 24 fmol of heteroduplex. *These data represent similar results derived from greater than five independent experiments.

_	
•	
_	

15

20

25

Repaired substrate	e (fmol/15 min)
3' /CA\	5' G/T
10.2	3.5
12.7	2.9
13.5	5.5
2.8	2.2
5.7	4.8
4.7	2.9
2.5	0.0
	3' /CA\ 10.2 12.7 13.5 2.8 5.7 4.7

B. Pooled cultures

clone B

clone C

7	r	ì
. 7	l	,
_	•	•

Repaired substrate (fmol/15 min)

0.0

0.5

		3'G/T	5'G/T	3'/CTG\	5'/CTG\
35	Cell Line				
	PMS2-NOT	2.07 +/- 0.09	2.37 +/- 0.37	3.45 +/- 1.35	2.77 +/-1.37
	PMS2-WT	1.65 +/- 0.94	1.86 +/- 0.57	1.13 +/- 0.23	1.23 +/- 0.65
40	PMS2-134	0.14 +/- 0.2	0.0 +/- 0.0	1.31 +/- 0.66	0.0 +/- 0.0

2.4

5.0

Western blots. Equal number of cells were lysed directly in lysis buffer (60 mM Tris, pH 6.8, 2% SDS, 10% glycerol, 0.1 M 2-mercaptoethanol, 0.001% bromophenol blue) and boiled for 5 minutes. Lysate proteins were separated by electrophoresis on 4-12% Tris-glycine gels (for analysis of full-length hPMS2) or 4-20% Tris-glycine gels (for analysis of hPMS2-134). Gels were electroblotted onto Immobilon-P (Millipore) in 48 mM Tris base, 40 mM glycine, 0.0375% SDS, 20% methanol and blocked overnight at 4°C in Tris-buffered saline plus 0.05% Tween-20 and 5% condensed milk. Filters were probed with a polyclonal antibody generated against residues 2 - 20 of hPMS2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and a horseradish peroxidase conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody, using chemilluminescence for detection (Pierce).

In vitro translation. Linear DNA fragments containing hPMS2 and hMLH1 cDNA sequences were prepared by PCR, incorporating sequences for in vitro transcription and translation in the sense primer. A full-length hMLH1 fragment was prepared using the sense primer 5'-ggatcctaatacgactcactatagggaga ccaccatgtcgttcgtggcaggg-3' (codons 1-6) and the antisense primer 5'-taagtcttaagtgctaccaac-3' (located in the 3' untranslated region, nt 2411-2433), using a wild-type hMLH1 cDNA clone as template. A full-length hPMS2 fragment was prepared with the sense primer 5'-ggatcctaatacgactcactatagggagaccaccatggaacaattgcctgcgg-3' (codons 1-6) and the antisense primer 5'-aggttagtgaagactctgtc-3' (located in 3' untranslated region, nt 2670-2690) using a cloned hPMS2 cDNA as template. A fragment encoding the amino-terminal 134 amino acids of hPMS2 was prepared using the same sense primer and the antisense primer 5'-agtcgagttccaaccttcg-3. A fragment containing codons 135 - 862 of hPMS135 was generated using the sense primer 5'-ggatcctaatacgactcactatagggagaccaccatgatgtttgatcacaatgg-3' (codons

135-141) and the same antisense primer as that used for the full-length

15

5

10

20

25

hPMS2 protein. These fragments were used to produce proteins via the coupled transcription-translation system (Promega). The reactions were supplemented with ³⁵S-labelled methionine or unlabelled methionine, as indicated in the text. The PMS135 and hMLH1 proteins could not be simultaneously radiolabelled and immunoprecipitated because of their similar molecular weights precluded resolution. Lower molecular weight bands are presumed to be degradation products and/or polypeptides translated from alternative internal methionines.

Immunoprecipitation. Immunoprecipitations were performed on in vitro translated proteins by mixing the translation reactions with 1 μg of the MLH1 specific monoclonal antibody (mAB) MLH14 (Oncogene Science, Inc.), a polyclonal antibody generated to codons 2 - 20 of hPMS2 described above, or a polyclonal antibody generated to codons 843-862 of hPMS2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) in 400 μl of EBC buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 0.1 M NaCl, 0.5% NP40). After incubation for 1 hr at 4°C, protein A sepharose (Sigma) was added to a final concentration of 10% and reactions were incubated at 4°C for 1 hour. Proteins bound to protein A were washed five times in EBC and separated by electrophoresis on 4-20% Tris-glycine gels, which were then dried and autoradiographed.

Biochemical assays for mismatch repair. MMR activity in nuclear extracts was performed as described, using 24 fmol of substrate (12,25). Complementation assays were done by adding ~ 100 ng of purified MutLα or MutSα components to 100 μg of nuclear extract, adjusting the final KCl concentration to 100 mM (4,10,30). The substrates used in these experiments contain a strand break 181 nucleotides 5' or 125 nucleotides 3' to the mismatch. Values represent experiments performed at least in duplicate.

20

5

10

15

10

15

20

25

EXAMPLE 3: Carboxy Terminus of hPMS2 Mediates Interaction between hPMS2 and hMLH1

To elucidate the mechanism by which hPMS2-134 affected MMR, we analyzed the interaction between hPMS2 and hMLH1. Previous studies have shown that these two proteins dimerize to form a functionally active complex (12, 28). Proteins were synthesized in vitro using reticulocyte lysates, employing RNA generated from cloned templates. The full-length hMLH1 and hPMS2 proteins bound to each other and were co-precipitated with antibodies to either protein, as expected (data not shown). To determine the domain of hPMS2 which bound to hMLH1, the amino terminus (codons 1 - 134), containing the most highly conserved domain among mutL proteins (19,24), and the carboxyl terminus (codons 135 - 862) were separately cloned and proteins produced in vitro in coupled transcription-translation reactions. When a 35S-labelled, full length hMLH1 protein (Fig. 5A, lane 5) was mixed with the unlabelled carboxyl terminal hPMS2 polypeptide, a monoclonal antibody (mAb) to the carboxyl terminus of hPMS2 efficiently immunoprecipitated the labeled hMLH1 protein (lane 1). No hMLH1 protein was precipitated in the absence of hPMS2 (lane 2). Conversely, when the 35S-labelled carboxyl-terminus of hPMS2 (lane 3) was incubated with unlabelled, full-length hMLH1 protein, an anti-hMLH1 mAb precipitated the hPMS2 polypeptide (lane 4). In the absence of the unlabelled hMLH1 protein, no hPMS2 protein was precipitated by this mAb (lane 6). The same antibody failed to immunoprecipitate the amino-terminus of hPMS2 (amino acids 1-134) when mixed with unlabelled MLH1 protein (Fig. 5B, lane 1). This finding was corroborated by the converse experiment in which radiolabelled hPMS2-134 (Fig. 5C, lane 1) was unable to coprecipitate radiolabelled MLH1 when precipitations were done using an N-terminal hPMS2 antibody (Fig. 5C, lane 2) while this antibody was shown to be able to coprecipitate MLH1 when mixed with wild-type hPMS2 (Fig. 5C, lane 4).

10

15

20

The initial steps of MMR are dependent on two protein complexes, called MutSa and MutLa (14). As the amino terminus of hPMS2 did not mediate binding of hPMS2 to hMLH1, it was of interest to determine whether it might instead mediate the interaction between the MutLa complex (composed of hMLH1 and hPMS2, ref. 12) and the MutSa complex (composed of MSH2 and GTBP, ref. 4). Because previous studies have demonstrated that MSH2 and the MutLa components do not associate in solution (28), we were unable to assay for direct hPMS2-134:MutS α interaction. We therefore used a different approach to address this issue, and attempted to complement nuclear extracts from the various SH cell lines with MutSa or MutLa. If the truncated protein present in the PMS2-134 expressing SH cells was binding to MutSα and lowering its effective concentration in the extract, then adding intact MutSa should rescue the MMR defect in such extracts. Purified MutSa added to such extracts had no effect (Fig. 6). In contrast, addition of intact MutLa to the extract completely restored directional repair to the extracts from PMS2-134 cells (Fig. 6).

The results described above lead to several conclusions. First, expression of the amino-terminus of hPMS2 results in an increase in microsattelite instability, consistent with a replication error (RER) phenotype. That this elevated microsattelite instability is due to MMR deficiency was proven by evaluation of extracts from stably transduced cells. Interestingly, the expression of PMS2-134 resulted in a polar defect in MMR, which was only observed using heteroduplexes designed to test repair from the 5' direction (no significant defect in repair from the 3' direction was observed in the same extracts). Interestingly, cells deficient in hMLH1 also have a polar defect in MMR, but in this case preferentially affecting repair from the 3' direction (5). It is known from previous studies in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes that the separate enzymatic components mediate repair from the two different directions. Our results, in combination with

25

10

15

20

those of Drummond et al., strongly suggest a model in which 5' repair is primarily dependent on hPMS2 while 3' repair is primarily dependent on hMLH1. It is easy to envision how the dimeric complex between PMS2 and MLH1 might set up this directionality. The combined results also demonstrate that a defect in directional MMR is sufficient to produce a RER+ phenotype.

We anticipated that the dominant negative function of the PMS2-134 polypeptide resulted from its binding to MLH1 and consequent inhibition of MutLa function. This hypothesis was based in part on the fact that the most highly conserved domain of the PMS2 gene is located in its amino terminus, and the only known biochemical partner for PMS2 is MLH1. Our binding studies revealed, however, that the carboxyl terminus of PMS2, rather than the highly conserved amino terminus, actually mediated binding to MLH1. This result is consistent with those recently obtained in S. cerevisciae, in which the MLH1-interacting domain of PMS1 (the yeast homolog of human PMS2) was localized to its carboxyl-terminus (23). Our add-back experiments additionally showed that the hPMS2-134 mutant was not likely to mediate an interaction with the MutSa complex (Fig. 6). The best explanation at present to explain the various observations made here is that the hPMS2-134 polypeptide does not inhibit the initial steps in MMR, but rather interacts with and inhibits a downstream component of the pathway, perhaps a nuclease required for repair from the 5' direction.

The demonstration that the hPMS2-134 mutation can confer a dominant negative MMR defect to transfected cells helps to explain the phenotype of the kindred in which this mutant was discovered. Three individuals from this kindred were found to carry the mutation, a father and his two children. Both children exhibited microsattelite instability in their normal tissues and both developed tumors at an early age, while the father had no evidence of microsattelite instability in his normal cells and was completely healthy at age 35. The only difference known to us with respect to the MMR genes in this family is that the father's mutant allele was

25

10

expressed at lower levels than the wild-type allele as assessed by sequencing of reverse transcriptase-PCR products of RNA from lymphocytes. The children expressed both alleles at approximately equal levels (Parsons et al. and unpublished observations). We suspect that the dominant negative attribute of the hPMS2-134 mutant will only be manifest when it is present at sufficient concentrations (at least equimolar), thus explaining the absence of MMR deficiency in the father. The reason for the differential expression of the hPMS2-134 allele in this kindred is not clear, though imprinting is a possibility. Hopefully, the ascertainment of additional, larger kindreds with such mutations will facilitate the investigation of this issue.

References

- 1. Baker S.M., Bronner, C.E., Zhang, L., Plug, A.W., Robatez, M., Warren, G., Elliott, E.A., Yu, J., Ashley, T., Arnheim, N., Bradley, N., Flavell, R.A., and Liskay, R.M. 1995. Male defective in the DNA mismatch repair gene PMS2 exhibit abnormal chromosome synapsis in meiosis. Cell 82:309-319.
- 2. Bronner, C.E., Baker, S.M., Morrison, P.T., Warren, G., Smith, L.G., Lescoe, M.K., Kane, M., Earabino, C., Lipford, J., Lindblom, A., Tannergard, P., Bollag, R.J., Godwin, A., R., Ward, D.C., Nordenskjold, M., Fishel, R., Kolodner, R., and Liskay, R.M. 1994. Mutation in the DNA mismatch repair gene homologue *hMLH1* is associated with hereditary non-polyposis colon cancer. Nature 368:258-261.
- 3. de Wind N., Dekker, M., Berns, A., Radman, M., and Riele, H.T. 1995. Inactivation of the mouse *Msh2* gene results in mismatch repair deficiency, methylation tolerance, hyperrecombination, and predisposition to cancer. Cell 82:321-300.
- 4. Drummond, J.T., Li, G.M., Longley, M.J., and Modrich, P. 1995. Isolation of an hMSH2-p160 heterodimer that restores mismatch repair to tumor cells. Science 268:1909-1912.
- Drummond, J.T., Anthoney, A., Brown, R., and Modrich, P. 1996.
 Cisplatin and adriamycin resistance are associated with MutLα and mismatch repair deficiency in an ovarian tumor cell line. J.Biol.Chem.
 271:9645-19648.
- 6. Edelmann, W., Cohen, P.E., Kane, M., Lau, K., Morrow, B., Bennett, S., Umar, A., Kunkel, T., Cattoretti, G., Chagnatti, R., Pollard, J.W., Kolodner, R.D., and Kucherlapati, R. 1996. Meiotic pachytene arrest in MLH1-deficient mice. Cell 85:1125-1134.

10

5

15

20

25

7. Fishel, R., Lescoe, M., Rao, M.R.S., Copeland, N.J., Jenkins, N.A., Garber, J., Kane, M., and Kolodner, R. 1993. The human mutator gene homolog *MSH2* and its association with hereditary nonpolyposis colon cancer. Cell 7:1027-1038.

5

8. Green, S., Issemann, I., and Sheer, E. 1988. A versatile in vivo eucaryotic expression vector for protein engineering. Nuc. Acid Res. 16:369.

10

9. Hamilton, S.R., Liu, B., Parsons, R., E., Papadopoulos, N., Jen, J., Powell, S.M., Krush, A.J., Berk, T., Cohen, Z., tetu, B., Kinzler, K.W., and Vogelstein, B. 1995. The molecular basis of Turcot's syndrome. N. Eng. J. Med. 332:839-847.

15

10. Holmes, J., Clark, S., and Modrich, P. Strand specific mismatch correction in nuclear extracts of human and *Drosophila melanogaster* cell lines. (1990). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87:5837-5841.

20

11. Leach, F.S., Nicolaides, N.C, Papadopoulos, N., Liu, B., Jen, J., Parsons, R., Peltomaki, P., Sistonen, P., Aaltonen, L.A., Nystrom-Lahti, M., Guan, X.Y., Zhang, J., Meltzer, P.S., Yu, J.W., Kao, F.T., Chen, D.J., Cerosaletti, K.M., Fournier, R.E.K., Todd, S., Lewis, T., Leach R.J., Naylor, S.L., Weissenbach, J., Mecklin, J.P., Jarvinen, J.A., Petersen, G.M., Hamilton, S.R., Green, J., Jass, J., Watson, P., Lynch, H.T., Trent, J.M., de la Chapelle, A., Kinzler, K.W., and Vogelstein, B. 1993. Mutations of a *mutS* homolog in hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer. Cell 75:1215-1225.

25

12. Li, G.-M., and Modrich, P. 1995. Restoration of mismatch repair to nuclear extracts of H6 colorectal tumor cells by a heterodimer of human mutL homologs. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 92:1950-1954.

10

15

20

25

13. Liu, B., Parsons, R., Papadopoulos, N., Nicolaides, N.C., Lynch, H.T., Watson, P., Jass, J.R., Dunlop, M., Wyllie, A., Peltomaki, P., de la Chapelle, A., Hamilton, S.R., Vogelstein, B., and Kinzler, K.W. 1996. Analysis of mismatch repair genes in hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer patients. Nat. Med. 2:169-174.

14. Modrich, P. 1994. Mismatch repair, genetic stability, and cancer. Science 266:1959-1960.

15. Nicolaides, N.C., Gualdi, R., Casadevall, C., Manzella, L., and Calabretta, B. 1991. Positive autoregulation of *c-myb* expression via MYB binding in the 5' flanking region of the human *c-myb* gene. Mol. Cell. Biol. 11:6166-6176.

16. Nicolaides, N.C., Correa, I., Casadevall, C., Travali, S., Soprano, K.J., and Calabretta, B. 1992. The Jun family members, c-JUN and JUND, transactivate the human *c-myb* promoter via an Ap1 like element. J. Biol. Chem. **267**, 19665-19672.

17. Nicolaides, N.C., Papadopoulos, N., Liu, B., Wei, Y.F., Carter, K.C., Ruben, S.M., Rosen, C.A., Haseltine, W.A., Fleischmann, R.D., Fraser, C.M., Adams, M.D., Venter, C.J., Dunlop, M.G., Hamilton, S.R., Petersen, G.M., de la Chapelle, A., Vogelstein, B., and kinzler, K.W. 1994.

Mutations of two PMS homologs in hereditary nonpolyposis colon cancer. Nature 371: 75-80.

18. Nicolaides N.C., Kinzler, K.W., and Vogelstein, B. 1995. Analysis of the 5' region of PMS2 reveals heterogenous transcripts and a novel overlapping gene. Genomics 29:329-334.

15

20

- 19. Nicolaides, N.C., Carter, K.C., Shell, B.K., Papadopoulos, N., Vogelstein, B., and Kinzler, K.W. 1995. Genomic organization of the human PMS2 gene family. Genomics 30:195-206.
- 5 20. Nicolaides, N.C., Palombo, F., Kinzler, K.W., Vogelstein, B., and Jiricny, J. 1996. Molecular cloning of the N-terminus of GTBP. Genomics 31:395-397.
 - 21. Palombo, F., Hughes, M., Jiricny, J., Truong, O., Hsuan, J. 1994. Mismatch repair and cancer. Nature 36:417.
 - 22. Palombo, F., Gallinari, P., Iaccarino, I., Lettieri, T., Hughes, M.A., Truong, O., Hsuan, J.J., and Jiricny, J. 1995. GTBP, a 160-kilodalton protein essential for mismatch-binding activity in human cells. Science 268:1912-1914.
 - 23. Pang, Q., Prolla, T.A., and Liskay, R.M. 1997. Functional domains of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Mlh1p and Pms1p DNA mismatch repair proteins and their relevance to human hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer-associated mutations. Mol. Cell. Biol. 17:4465-4473.
 - 24. Papadopoulos, N., Nicolaides, N.C., Wei, Y.F., Carter, K.C., Ruben, S.M., Rosen, C.A., Haseltine, W.A., Fleischmann, R.D., Fraser, C.M., Adams, M.D., Venter, C.J., Dunlop, M.G., Hamilton, S.R., Petersen, G.M., de la Chapelle, A., Vogelstein, B., and kinzler, K.W. 1994. Mutation of a mutL homolog is associated with hereditary colon cancer. Science 263:1625-1629.
 - 25. Parsons, R., Li, G.M., Longley, M.J., Fang, W.H., Papadopolous, N., Jen, J., de la Chapelle, A., Kinzler, K.W., Vogelstein, B., and Modrich, P.

1993. Hypermutability and mismatch repair deficiency in RER⁺ tumor cells. Cell 75:1227-1236.

26. Parsons, R., Li, G.M., Longley, M., Modrich, P., Liu, B., Berk, T., Hamilton, S.R., Kinzler, K.W., and Vogelstein, B. 1995. Mismatch repair deficiency in phenotypically normal human cells. Science 268:738-740.

27. Perucho, M. 1996. Cancer of the microsattelite mutator phenotype. Biol Chem. 377:675-684.

28. Prolla, T.A, Pang, Q., Alani, E., Kolodner, R.A., and Liskay, R.M. 1994. MLH1, PMS1, and MSH2 Interaction during the initiation of DNA mismatch repair in yeast. Science 264:1091-1093.

29. Strand, M., Prolla, T.A., Liskay, R.M., and Petes, T.D. Destabilization of tracts of simple repetitive DNA in yeast by mutations affecting DNA mismatch repair. 1993. Nature 365:274-276.

30. Su, S.S., Lahue, R.S., Au, K.G., and Modrich, P. 1988. Mispair specificity of methyl directed DNA mismatch corrections in vitro. J. Biol. Chem. 263:6829-6835.

10

5

15

SEQUENCE LISTING

5	(1) GENERAL INFORMATION
	(i) APPLICANT: NICOLAIDES, NICHOLAS VOGELSTEIN, BERT
10	KINZLER, KINZLER
10	(ii) TITLE OF THE INVENTION: A METHOD FOR GENERATING HYPERMUTABLE ORGANISMS
	(iii) NUMBER OF SEQUENCES: 2
15	
	(iv) CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS:
	(A) ADDRESSEE: Banner & Witcoff
	(B) STREET: 1001 G Street, NW
00	(C) CITY: Washington
20	(D) STATE: DC
	(E) COUNTRY: USA (F) ZIP: 20001
	(F) ZIF: 20001
	(v) COMPUTER READABLE FORM:
25	(A) MEDIUM TYPE: Diskette
	(B) COMPUTER: IBM Compatible
	(C) OPERATING SYSTEM: DOS
	(D) SOFTWARE: FastSEQ for Windows Version 2.0
30	(vi) CURRENT APPLICATION DATA:
50	(A) APPLICATION NUMBER:
	(B) FILING DATE:
	(C) CLASSIFICATION:
35	(vii) PRIOR APPLICATION DATA:
33	(A) APPLICATION NUMBER:
	(B) FILING DATE:
	(viii) ATTORNEY/AGENT INFORMATION:
40	(A) NAME: Kagan, Sarah A
	(B) REGISTRATION NUMBER: 32141
	(C) REFERENCE/DOCKET NUMBER: 01107.73306
	(ix) TELECOMMUNICATION INFORMATION:
45	(A) TELEPHONE: 202-508-9100
	(B) TELEFAX: 202-508-9299
	(C) TELEX:
50	(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:1:
- -	• •
	(i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS:
	(A) LENGTH: 2771 base pairs(B) TYPE: nucleic acid
	(b) II.D: MACIETO GOIA

				STRAI TOPO													
5				OLEC EATU		TYPE	: cD	NA									
			(B)	NAM LOC OTH	OITA	N: 2	5	2610		ce							
10																	
		(ж	:i) S	EQUE	NCE	DESC	RIPI	:NOI	SEQ	ID	NO: 1	. :					
15	CGAG	GCGG	AT C	GGGT	GTTG	C AI						AG A lu S 5				_	51
20												AAG Lys					99
												ACT Thr					147
25												AAT Asn					195
30												TCA Ser					243
35	GGG Gly	GTA Val 75	GAA Glu	GAA Glu	GAA Glu	AAC Asn	TTC Phe 80	GAA Glu	GGC Gly	TTA Leu	ACT Thr	CTG Leu 85	AAA Lys	CAT His	CAC His	ACA Thr	291
40	TCT Ser 90	Lys	ATT	CAA Gln	GAG Glu	TTT Phe 95	GCC	GAC Asp	CTA Leu	ACT Thr	CAG Gln 100	GTT Val	GAA Glu	ACT Thr	TTT Phe	GGC Gly 105	339
45	TTT Phe	CGG Arg	GGG Gly	GAA Glu	GCT Ala 110	Leu	AGC Ser	TCA Ser	CTT Leu	TGT Cys 115	Ala	CTG Leu	AGC Ser	GAT Asp	GTC Val 120	Thr	387
45	ATT Ile	TCI Ser	ACC Thr	TGC Cys 125	His	GCA Ala	TCG Ser	G GCG	AAG Lys 130	Val	GGA Gly	ACT Thr	CGA Arg	CTG Leu 135	Met	TTT Phe	435
50	GAT Asy	CAC Hie	C AAT B ABr 140	ı Gly	AAA Lys	ATI	T ATO	C CAG Glr 145	Lys	ACC Thr	CCC Pro	TAC Tyr	CCC Pro 150	Arg	CCC Pro	AGA Arg	483

	GGG Gly	ACC Thr 155	ACA Thr	GTC Val	AGC Ser	GTG Val	CAG Gln 160	CAG Gln	TTA Leu	TTT Phe	TCC Ser	ACA Thr 165	CTA Leu	CCT Pro	GTG Val	CGC Arg	531
5	CAT His 170	AAG Lys	GAA Glu	TTT Phe	CAA Gln	AGG Arg 175	AAT Asn	ATT Ile	AAG Lys	AAG Lys	GAG Glu 180	TAT Tyr	GCC Ala	AAA Lys	ATG Met	GTC Val 185	579
10	CAG Gln	GTC Val	TTA Leu	CAT His	GCA Ala 190	TAC Tyr	TGT Cys	ATC Ile	ATT Ile	TCA Ser 195	GCA Ala	GGC Gly	ATC Ile	CGT Arg	GTA Val 200	AGT Ser	627
15	TGC Cys	ACC Thr	AAT Asn	CAG Gln 205	CTT Leu	GGA Gly	CAA Gln	GGA Gly	AAA Lys 210	CGA Arg	CAG Gln	CCT Pro	GTG Val	GTA Val 215	TGC Cys	ACA Thr	675
	GGT Gly	GGA Gly	AGC Ser 220	CCC Pro	AGC Ser	ATA Ile	AAG Lys	GAA Glu 225	AAT Asn	ATC Ile	GGC Gly	TCT Ser	GTG Val 230	TTT Phe	GGG Gly	CAG Gln	723
20	AAG Lys	CAG Gln 235	TTG Leu	CAA Gln	AGC Ser	CTC Leu	ATT Ile 240	CCT Pro	TTT Phe	GTT Val	CAG Gln	CTG Leu 245	CCC Pro	CCT Pro	AGT Ser	GAC Asp	771
25	TCC Ser 250	Val	TGT Cys	GAA Glu	GAG Glu	TAC Tyr 255	Gly	TTG Leu	AGC Ser	TGT Cys	TCG Ser 260	GAT Asp	GCT Ala	CTG Leu	CAT His	AAT Asn 265	819
30	CTT Leu	TTT Phe	TAC Tyr	ATC Ile	TCA Ser 270	Gly	TTC Phe	ATT	TCA Ser	CAA Gln 275	TGC Cys	ACG Thr	CAT His	GGA Gly	GTT Val 280	GGA Gly	867
35	AGG Arg	AGT Ser	TCA Ser	ACA Thr 285	Asp	AGA Arg	CAG Gln	TTT Phe	TTC Phe 290	Phe	ATC	AAC Asn	CGG Arg	CGG Arg 295	Pro	TGT Cys	915
40	GAC Asp	CCA Pro	GCA Ala 300	Lye	GTC Val	TGC Cys	AGA Arg	CTC Leu 305	Val	AAT Asn	GAG Glu	GTC Val	TAC Tyr 310	Hie	ATG Met	TAT Tyr	963
40	AAT Asr	CGA Arg 315	y Hie	CAG Glr	TAT Tyr	CCF Pro	A TTT Phe 320	e Val	GTT Val	CTI Lev	AAC ABI	ATT 116 325	e Ser	GT1	TAD T	TCA Ser	1011
45	GA1 G1: 33(а Суя	C GTT	GAT L Asp	TATO PIle	AA: As: 33!	n Va	r ACI l Thr	CCP Pro	GAT ABI	Lys 340	a Arq	G CAZ g Gli	A AT	r TTC e Lev	CTA Leu 345	1059
50	CA: Gl:	A GAO	G GAZ u Glv	A AAC	G CT1 B Lev 350	ı Le	G TT(u Le	G GCA u Ala	A GTT a Val	TTI L Lev 35	Ly:	G ACC	C TC	r TT	360	A GGA e Gly	1107
55	AT Me	G TT	T GA' e As	T AG' p Se: 36	r As	r GT p Va	C AA 1 As	C AA(G CT	ı As	T GT n Va	C AG' l Se	T CA	G CA n Gl 37	n Pr	A CTG o Leu	1155

	CTG Leu																1203
5	AAG Lys																1251
10	GAA Glu 410							ATT Ile									1299
15								AAG Lys									1347
20								CAG Gln									1395
20	ACT Thr	TCA Ser	GGT Gly 460	GCC Ala	ATC Ile	TCT Ser	GAC Asp	AAA Lys 465	GGC Gly	GTC Val	CTG Leu	AGA Arg	CCT Pro 470	CAG Gln	AAA Lys	GAG Glu	1443
25	GCA Ala	GTG Val 475	AGT Ser	TCC Ser	AGT Ser	CAC	GGA Gly 480	CCC Pro	AGT Ser	GAC Asp	CCT Pro	ACG Thr 485	GAC Asp	AGA Arg	GCG Ala	GAG Glu	1491
30	GTG Val 490	Glu	AAG Lys	GAC Asp	TCG Ser	GGG Gly 495	His	GGC Gly	AGC Ser	ACT Thr	TCC Ser 500	Val	GAT Asp	TCT Ser	GAG Glu	GGG Gly 505	1539
35	TTC Phe	AGC Ser	ATC	CCA Pro	GAC Asp 510	Thr	GGC Gly	AGT Ser	CAC	TGC Cys 515	Ser	: AGC : Ser	GAG Glu	TAT	GCG Ala 520	GCC	1587
	AGC Ser	TCC Ser	CCA Pro	GGG Gly 525	yet	AGO Aro	G GGC G Gly	TCG Ser	Glr 530	Glu	CAT Hie	GTG Val	GAC Asp	TCI Ser 535	Glr	GAG Glu	1635
40	AA? Lys	GCG Ala	CCT Pro	Glu	ACI Thr	GAC	C GAC	Ser 545	Phe	TC <i>I</i> e Sei	A GAT	r GTC o Val	GAC L Asp 550	Су	C CAT	TCA Ser	1683
45	AA(Ası	C CAC n Glr 559	ı Glu	A GAT	Thi	GG Gl	A TG: y Cy:	Lys	A TT	CGI Arq	A GT:	r TTC l Lev 56	ı Pro	r CAC	G CCI	A ACT	1731
50	AA: As: 57(n Lev	C GCI	A ACC	C CCI	A AA > As: 57	n Th	A AAC	G CG	r TT'	T AA e Ly: 58	в Гу	A GA	A GA	A AT	r CTT e Leu 585	1779
55	TC: Se:	C AG'	T TC'	r GAG	C AT'	е Су	T CA	A AAG	G TT	A GT. u Va 59	l As	T AC	T CA	G GA	C ATO	G TCA t Ser O	1827

	GCC Ala																1875
5	CTG Leu	GAC Asp	TTT Phe 620	TCT Ser	ATG Met	AGT Ser	TCT Ser	TTA Leu 625	GCT Ala	AAA Lys	CGA Arg	ATA Ile	AAG Lys 630	CAG Gln	TTA Leu	CAT His	1923
10	CAT His																1971
15	GCA Ala 650	AAG Lys	ATT Ile	TGT Cys	CCT Pro	GGA Gly 655	GAA Glu	AAT Asn	CAA Gln	GCA Ala	GCC Ala 660	GAA Glu	GAT Asp	GAA Glu	CTA Leu	AGA Arg 665	2019
20										GAA Glu 675							2067
20										CTG Leu							2115
25	GTG Val	GAC Asp	CAG Gln 700	CAT His	GCC Ala	ACG Thr	GAC Asp	GAG Glu 705	AAG Lys	TAT Tyr	AAC Asn	TTC Phe	GAG Glu 710	ATG Met	CTG Leu	CAG Gln	2163
30										CTC Leu			Pro				2211
35		Leu					Glu			CTG Leu		Glu				ATA Ile 745	2259
40						Phe				ATC Ile 755	Asp						2307
40	ACT Thr	GAA Glu	AGG Arg	GCT Ala 765	Lye	CTC Lev	ATI	TCC Ser	770	Pro	ACT Thr	AG1	AAA Lys	AAC ABD	Trp	ACC Thr	2355
45	TTC Phe	GGA Gly	7 Pro	Glr	GAC	C GTC	C GAS	GAZ Glu 789	ı Lev	ATC	TTC Phe	C ATO	CTC Leu 790	ser	GAC Asp	AGC Ser	2403
50	CCI	795	val	C ATO	TGC Cyt	C CGG	g CC' g Pro	o Se	c cg/	A GTO	Lys	G CAG B Gli 809	n Met	TTI Phe	r GCC e Ala	TCC Ser	2451
55	AG! Arg 810	g Ala	C TGG	C CGG	g AAG	3 TC	r Va	G ATO	G AT	r GGC e Gly	820	r Al	r cri	AA 1	C AC	A AGC Ser 825	2499

	GAG ATG AAG AAA CTG ATC ACC CAC ATG GGG GAG ATG GAC CAC CCC TGG 25. Glu Met Lys Lys Leu Ile Thr His Met Gly Glu Met Asp His Pro Trp 830 835 840	47
5	AAC TGT CCC CAT GGA AGG CCA ACC ATG AGA CAC ATC GCC AAC CTG GGT ABN CyB Pro His Gly Arg Pro Thr Met Arg His Ile Ala Asn Leu Gly 845 850 855	95
10	GTC ATT TCT CAG AAC TGACCGTAGT CACTGTATGG AATAATTGGT TTTATCGCAG A 26 Val 1le Ser Gln Asn 860	51
15		'11 '71
20	(2) INFORMATION FOR SEQ ID NO:2: (i) SEQUENCE CHARACTERISTICS: (A) LENGTH: 862 amino acids (B) TYPE: amino acid (C) STRANDEDNESS: single (D) TOPOLOGY: linear	
25	(ii) MOLECULE TYPE: protein(v) FRAGMENT TYPE: internal(xi) SEQUENCE DESCRIPTION: SEQ ID NO:2:	
30	Met Glu Arg Ala Glu Ser Ser Ser Thr Glu Pro Ala Lys Ala Ile Lys 1 5 10 15	
35	Pro Ile Asp Arg Lys Ser Val His Gln Ile Cys Ser Gly Gln Val Val 20 25 30 Leu Ser Leu Ser Thr Ala Val Lys Glu Leu Val Glu Asn Ser Leu Asp 35 40 45	
	Ala Gly Ala Thr Asn Ile Asp Leu Lys Leu Lys Asp Tyr Gly Val Asp 50 55 60 Leu Ile Glu Val Ser Asp Asn Gly Cys Gly Val Glu Glu Asn Phe 65 70 75 80	
40	Glu Gly Leu Thr Leu Lys His His Thr Ser Lys Ile Gln Glu Phe Ala 85 90 95	
	Asp Leu Thr Gln Val Glu Thr Phe Gly Phe Arg Gly Glu Ala Leu Ser 100 105 110	
45	Ser Leu Cys Ala Leu Ser Asp Val Thr Ile Ser Thr Cys His Ala Ser 115 120 125	
	Ala Lys Val Gly Thr Arg Leu Met Phe Asp His Asn Gly Lys Ile Ile 130 135 140	
	Gln Lys Thr Pro Tyr Pro Arg Pro Arg Gly Thr Thr Val Ser Val Gln 145 150 155 160	
50	Gln Leu Phe Ser Thr Leu Pro Val Arg His Lys Glu Phe Gln Arg Asn 165 170 175	
	Ile Lys Lys Glu Tyr Ala Lys Met Val Gln Val Leu His Ala Tyr Cys 180 185 190	
	Ile Ile Ser Ala Gly Ile Arg Val Ser Cys Thr Asn Gln Leu Gly Gln	

			195					200					205			
	Gly	Lys 210	Arg	Gln	Pro	Val	Val 215	Сув	Thr	Gly		Ser 220	Pro	Ser	Ile	Lys
5	Glu 225			_		230					235					240
					245					250	Val				255	
			_	260	_				265		Phe			270		
10			275					280			Ser		285			
		290					295				Pro	300				
15		Val	Asn	Glu	Val		His	Met	Tyr	Asn	Arg	HIB	Gin	Tyr	Pro	320
15	305	**- 3	T 0	2	T10	310	Wa 1	n an	Sor	Glu	315 Cys	Val	Agn	Tle	Agn	
					325					330	Glu				335	
20			_	340					345		Phe			350		
20			355					360			Asp		365			
	_	370					375					380				Gln
25	385	пув	MEC	HILD	ALG	390	veb	Deu	Ulu	ביים	395				_1-	400
					405					410	Glu				415	
				420					425		Arg			430		
30	_		435					440					445			Gly
,		450					455					460				Asp
35	465					470					475					Gly 480
					485					490					495	
40	-			500	li .				505					510		Gly
40			515					520)				525			Gly
		530	1				535	,				540)			Asp
45	545	;				550)				555	•				Cys 560
					565	5				570)				575	
50	_			580)				585	5				590)	Gln
50			595	,				600)				609	5		. Ala
		610)				615	5				620)			Ser
55	625		т губ	s Ar	J 11€	630		i ra	Y UT!	o uti	635		. .	. 311	. Jei	640

